



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/895,272		06/29/2001	Frans W. Sijstermans	22300-05725	7190
758	7590	05/25/2004		EXAMINER MALZAHN, DAVID H	
FENWICK SILICON V					
	IFORNIA STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MOUNTAI	N VIEW,	CA 94041		2124	7
				DATE MAILED: 05/25/2004	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

fn

			<b>N</b>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/2				
•	09/895,272	SIJSTERMANS ET AL.	<b>∮</b>				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David H. Malzahn	2124					
The MAILING DATE of this community  Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5)  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum (5)  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a munication. 30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of th tatutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed into (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on .						
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-56 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict of the subject of the subject to restrict of the subject to restrict of the subject of the subj	are withdrawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on 29 June 200 Applicant may not request that any objection	1 is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected t	-	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
3. Copies of the certified copies	documents have been received. documents have been received in a of the priority documents have been onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5.	PTO-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272

Art Unit: 2124

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 1, 5, 12, 16, 23, 27, 34, 38, 39, 46 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by both Sharangpani and Smith.

Both Sharangpani and Smith show both a method and an apparatus for executing a first instruction to set a rounding mode and then executing a second instruction to generate an integer result rounded according to the rounding mode, note the respective abstracts and figures.

3. Claims 1, 4-8, 11, 12, 15-19, 22, 23, 26-30, 33, 34, 37-42, 45, 46, 49-53 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Farooqui et al (Farooqui).

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272

Art Unit: 2124

Farooqui shows both a method and an apparatus for executing an instruction to set a rounding mode followed but executing a right-shift with rounding operation instruction which involves adding a rounding term as a function of the rounding mode, the shift amount and the sign of the result to obtain an intermediate result and right-shifting the intermediate result by the shift amount, note the abstract.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 2, 9, 13, 20, 24, 31, 35, 43, 47 and 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farooqui and Wong (5,917,739).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the rounding technique as taught by Farooqui to the rounding averaging operation of Wong because Wong teaches the need to perform a rounding relative to an averaging operation.

6. Claims 3, 10, 14, 21, 25, 32, 36, 44, 48 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farooqui and Wong (4,953,119).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the rounding technique as taught by Farooqui to the rounding fixed-

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272 Page 4

Art Unit: 2124

point fractional multiplication operation of Wong because Wong teaches the need to perform a rounding relative to fixed-point fractional multiplication operation.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

7. Claims 6-22, 28-33, 38, 40-45 and 51-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 6, 17, 28, 40 and 51 are mis-descriptive because the rounding term is not a function of the sign when the operands are unsigned, e.g. note claim 9. The step of claim 7 fails to be clearly related to the sequence of steps of claim 6. Claim 11 is inconsistent with claim 6 because when the operation right-shift then the first step of claim 6 is not performed, note Fig. 13. Similarly note claims 22, 33, 45 and 56. Claims 12 and 17 are mis-descriptive "parsing the software program" doesn't occur. In claim 38 the phrase "the integer result" lacks clear antecedent basis.

#### Specification

8. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Application/Control Number: 09/895,272

Art Unit: 2124

#### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David H. Malzahn whose telephone number is (703) 305-9762. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki, can be reached on 703-305-9662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

Art Unit 2124